1 Hyperelliptic Surfaces

A compact Riemann surface is called hyperelliptic if it is a double cover of \mathbb{CP}^1 , equivalently if there exists a meromorphic function on the surface with exactly two poles counting with multiplicity.

1. Consider a surface M defined by an equation

$$w^{2} = a \prod_{i=1}^{d} (z - z_{i})$$
(1)

in \mathbb{C}^2 , where a is a non-zero constant and z_i 's are distinct points in \mathbb{C} . Show that the two projections $(z, w) \mapsto z$ and $(z, w) \mapsto w$ induce charts of M (as a non-compact Riemann surface). Around which points should you use the second projection to define a chart? Show that $M \ni (z, w) \mapsto z \in \mathbb{C}$ is a double cover of \mathbb{C} with branch points $z_1, \cdots z_d$.

- 2. Now identify \mathbb{C} (of z coordinate) with $\mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}$. We will extend the double covering $M \ni (z, w) \mapsto z \in \mathbb{C}$ to \mathbb{CP}^1 . For this purpose, let $\tilde{z} := \frac{1}{z}$ be a coordinate around $\infty \in \mathbb{CP}^1$. By properly changing the coordinate w and using \tilde{z} , obtain a regular equation defined around $\tilde{z} = 0$ which coincides with equation 1 over the intersection with \mathbb{C} . Show that we obtain a compact Riemann surface \overline{M} and a branched double covering $\pi : \overline{M} \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ so that $\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{C})$ is the same as M. What is the difference between the cases that d is odd and even? Is it possible to think that the compactification is done in a line bundle of degree k over \mathbb{CP}^1 if d = 2k or d = 2k 1?
- **3.** For d = 3, we can homogenize the equation 1 to obtain a compact Riemann surface sitting in \mathbb{CP}^2 . Is this surface holomorphically diffeomorphic to the one we obtained in part 2 compactifying M in a line bundle? Does this compactification in \mathbb{CP}^2 work for other values of d? Why or why not?
- 4. Recall that dz defines a meromorphic section of $K_{\mathbb{CP}^1}$. The pullback $\pi^*(dz)$ then defines a meromorphic section of $K_{\overline{M}}$. By counting zeros and poles of $\pi^*(dz)$, find the degree of $K_{\overline{M}}$. Identify the genus of \overline{M} . See problem 2 in section 2 for the degree of canonical bundles.

This is essentially the proof of Riemann-Hurwitz formula for our special case.

- 5. Show that any meromorphic function on \overline{M} is expressed as a rational function of z and w. Note that the non-trivial deck transformation σ on \overline{M} as a double cover of \mathbb{CP}^1 is an involution, i.e. $\sigma^2 = \mathrm{id}_{\overline{M}}$, called the hyperelliptic involution. Decompose a given meromorphic function into σ -invariant and σ -anti-invariant part.
- 6. Show that the space $H^0(\overline{M}, K_{\overline{M}})$ of holomorphic sections of $K_{\overline{M}}$ is a finite dimensional vector space. Find a basis of this space. What is the dimension?

Double-check that the dimension you got is correct by computing the dimension using Riemann-Roch theorem.

2 Degree of Holomorphic Line Bundles

We recall the definition of the degree of line bundles.

Definition 1. Let L be a (not necessarily holomorphic) line bundle over a compact Riemann surface M and ∇ be any connection on L, then we define the degree of L by

$$\deg(L) := \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_M F^{\nabla}$$

Here F^{∇} is the curvature 2-form of ∇ .

Remark 1. It is known that the definition does not depend on the choice of ∇ . See problem 3 and 4 in section 3

1. Let TM be the tangent bundle of a compact Riemann surface M which is considered as a holomorphic line bundle. Induce a metric h on TM compatible with the holomorphic structure of M. This means that with respect to holomorphic coordinates, h is locally given as a conformally flat metric. Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection for metric h. Show that $F^{\nabla} = -iK_h \operatorname{vol}_h$, where K_h is the sectional curvature defined by

$$K_h = h(F^{\nabla}(u, v)v, u)$$

in every local neighborhood with any orthonormal frame (u, v) and vol_h is the volume form.

2. Applying Gauss-Bonnet theorem, show that the degree of the tangent line bundle of a compact Riemann surface equals 2-2g, thus that the degree of the canonical bundle equals 2g-2.

For the following problems, use the fact that any holomorphic line bundle has a non-zero meromorphic section and that the degree of the line bundle is given by the total degree of the section. (See Problem 3 and 4 in section 3)

- **3.** Show that any holomorphic line bundle over a compact Riemann surface can be expressed as a tensor product of point bundles and their inverses.
- 4. For holomorphic line bundles $L_1 = \bigotimes_{i=1}^N L(p_i)^{n_i}$ and $L_2 = \bigotimes_{j=1}^M L(q_j)^{m_j}$ over \mathbb{CP}^1 , show that there exists a meromophic function over \mathbb{CP}^1 whose divisor is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^N n_i \cdot p_i \sum_{j=1}^M m_j \cdot q_j$ if and only if deg $(L_1) = \deg(L_2)$. Conclude that two holomorphic line bundles over \mathbb{CP}^1 are isomorphic if and only if their degrees are equal. Based on this fact we write $\mathcal{O}(k)$ for the holomorphic line bundle of degree k over \mathbb{CP}^1 .

3 Gauge Transformation and Local Expressions of Curvature

Definition 2. Let E be a vector bundle with a given connection ∇ . If a bundle isomorphism $g: E \to E$ is given, then this induces a connection $g \circ \nabla \circ g^{-1}$ on E, which is called the gauge transformation of ∇ through g.

- 1. Recall that for a local frame φ_i of vector bundle E, the connection 1-form $\omega_i \in \Omega^1(U_i, \mathfrak{gl}_r(\mathbb{C}))$ associated with ∇ is defined by $\nabla \varphi_i = \varphi_i \cdot \omega_i$. Thus through the local trivializations we get a connection $d + \omega_i$ on each trivial bundle $U_i \times \mathbb{C}^r$. Explicitly express the gauge transformation of $d + \omega_i$ through the transition function g_{ji} (restricted on $U_i \cap U_j$), where $g_{ji} \in C^{\infty}(U_i \cap U_j, \operatorname{GL}_r(\mathbb{C}))$ is given by $\varphi_i = \varphi_j g_{ji}$. This shows how the local expressions of the connection are transformed.
- 2. The curvature tensor $F^{\nabla} \in \Omega^2(M, \operatorname{End}(E))$ is defined as $F^{\nabla} = (d^{\nabla})^2 = d^{\nabla} \circ \nabla$. Show that the curvature is locally given by $F^{\nabla}\varphi_i = \varphi_i (d\omega_i + \omega_i \wedge \omega_i)$ in terms of the connection 1-form ω_i . What curvature will you get if you gauge transform $d + \omega_i$ by g_{ji} ? This is how the local expressions of the curvature are transformed through the transition functions g_{ji} . What if the rank of E equals one?
- **3.** Now let *L* be a holomorphic *line* bundle over a compact Riemann surface *M* and $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(M, L)$ be a meromorphic section. So φ trivializes *L* away from its zeros and poles. Prove that

$$\frac{i}{2\pi} \int_M F^{\nabla} = \sum_{z \in M} \operatorname{ord}_z(\varphi) \;.$$

4. Applying Riemann-Roch theorem, we can prove that for every line bundle there exists a meromorphic section (how?). With this fact and the formula from part 3, show that the definition of the degree of a line bundle does not depend on the choice of the connection, that the total order of meromorphic sections of a given bundle does not depend on the choice of meromorphic sections, and that the degrees of line bundles are integers.

4 List of Theorems

Theorem 1. (Gauss-Bonnet) Let M be a smooth surface (mannifold of real dimension 2) of genus g without boundary, h be a Riemannian metric, K_h be the sectional curvature, and vol_h be the volume form. Then it follows

$$\int_M K_h \operatorname{vol}_h = 4\pi (1-g) \; .$$

Theorem 2. (Riemann-Hurwitz) Let M and N be compact Riemann surfaces and $f: M \to N$ a holomorphic map. Then it follows

$$2g_M - 2 = \deg(f) (2g_N - 2) + \sum_{p \in M} (e_p - 1) ,$$

where deg(f) is the degree of f as covering map, e_p is the ramification index at $p \in M$, and g_M and g_N are the genus of M and N respectively.

Theorem 3. (Riemann-Roch) For any holomorphic line bundle L over a compact Riemann surface M, it follows

$$\dim (H^0(M, L)) - \dim (H^0(M, KL^{-1})) = \deg(L) - g + 1 ,$$

where $H^0(M, L)$ and $H^0(M, KL^{-1})$ denote the spaces of holomorphic sections of bundle L and KL^{-1} respectively.